## JUNE 2011 TRAC

# Eastern GB cod, EGB haddock, and GB yellowtail flounder 

NEFMC
Danvers, MA
September 28, 2011

Resource

## Allocation <br> Shares

| Resource Utilization |  |  |  |  | Resource Utilization and DistributionWeighting |  |  | Allocation Shares |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USACANADA |  | Cod | Haddock | Ytl |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 40\% | 45\% | 98\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60\% | 55\% | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Resource Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Survey Year | Cod | Haddock | Ytl |  |  |  | Fishing Year | Utilization | Distribution | Cod | Haddock | Ytl |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USA | 2000 | 18\% | 20\% | 54\% | 2002 | 40\% | 60\% | 27\% | 30\% | 72\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 82\% | 80\% | 46\% |  |  |  | 73\% | 70\% | 28\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2001 | 14\% | 16\% | 64\% | 2003 | 40\% | 60\% | 24\% | 28\% | 78\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 86\% | 84\% | 36\% |  |  |  | 76\% | 72\% | 22\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2002 | 12\% | 26\% | 62\% | 2004 | 40\% | 60\% | 23\% | 34\% | 76\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 88\% | 74\% | 38\% |  |  |  | 77\% | 66\% | 24\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2003 | 18\% | 27\% | 56\% | 2005 | 35\% | 65\% | 26\% | 33\% | 71\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 82\% | 73\% | 44\% |  |  |  | 74\% | 67\% | 29\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2004 | 14\% | 29\% | 56\% | 2006 | 30\% | 70\% | 22\% | 34\% | 69\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 86\% | 71\% | 44\% |  |  |  | 78\% | 66\% | 31\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2005 | 21\% | 29\% | 63\% | 2007 | 25\% | 75\% | 26\% | 33\% | 72\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 79\% | 71\% | 37\% |  |  |  | 74\% | 67\% | 28\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2006 | 26\% | 32\% | 73\% | 2008 | 20\% | 80\% | 29\% | 35\% | 78\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 74\% | 68\% | 27\% |  |  |  | 71\% | 65\% | 22\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2007 | 29\% | 36\% | 73\% | 2009 | 15\% | 85\% | 31\% | 37\% | 77\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 71\% | 64\% | 27\% |  |  |  | 69\% | 63\% | 23\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2008 | 23\% | 40\% | 60\% | 2010 | 10\% | 90\% | 25\% | 40.5\% | 64\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 77\% | 60\% | 40\% |  |  |  | 75\% | 59.5\% | 36\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2009 | 17\% | 43\% | 50\% | 2011 | 10\% | 90\% | 19\% | 43\% | 55\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 83\% | 57\% | 50\% |  |  |  | 81\% | 57\% | 45\% |  |  |  |
| USA | 2010 | 22\% | 43\% | 44\% | 2012 | 10\% | 90\% | 24\% | 43\% | 49\% |  |  |  |
| CANADA |  | 78\% | 57\% | 56\% |  |  |  | 76\% | 57\% | 51\% |  |  |  |

## Eastern GB Atlantic Cod Management Unit



## Management Unit



## USA: SA 561,562 CA: SA 551,552

Canadian and USA Total Catch


- USA+CA 2010 total catch: 1,326 mt (CY) ; 221 mt discards
- USA 2010 catch 486 mt : 357 mt landings; 129 mt discards
- Canadian 2010 catch 840 mt: 748 mt landings; 92 mt discards
- US: 100\% quota , CA: 83\% quota


## Assessment

- Two VPA formulations: split "M 0.2" \& "M 0.5"
- Natural mortality (M) = 0.2 for all ages in "M 0.2" model, increased M for ages $6+$ in "M 0.5 " model after 1994
-Survey indices split in 1993-1994 for both models (change in sv catchability an alias for unknown mechanism that produces better fitting model)
- Benchmark: consider both model formulations until the fate of the 2003 year class has been documented, thus providing information on M .
-Retrospective: overestimate B, underestimate F.


## 3+ Biomass (dotted lines)



- 3,288 mt (split M 0.2) / 5,088 mt (split M 0.5) - 2011
- Increase since 2004/05 due to 2003 year class
- Biomass is $2^{\text {nd }}$ lowest in both models


## Survey \& VPA 1+ Biomass



1+ popn. biomass \& SV biomass indices:

- fluctuating at low values since 1994


## Recruitment



M 0.2 - 2003 yc ( 2.8 mil.) ~ 1992 ;strongest since 1998 M 0.5-2003 yc (4.1 mil.) ~ 1996 ;strongest since 1996 2007-2008-2009 YCs (0.8 mil. - 1.2 mil. age 1 fish ) ~among weakest in time series

## Fishing Mortality



2010 F= 0.41 (M 0.2) / 0.25 (M 0.5).
Among lowest $F$ on record ; still above $F_{\text {ref }}$
$F>F_{\text {ref }}(0.18)$ for entire time series

## Stock Recruitment



Remain at low productivity; low weights at age Rct event more likely > 30,000 mt SSB

## 2012 Projection : Fref



|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Probability of exceeding Fref in $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |  |
| Split M 0.2 |  | 525 mt | 600 mt | 700 mt |
| Split M 0.5 |  | 825 mt | 925 mt | $1,025 \mathrm{mt}$ |

M 0.2 model: A catch of about 600 mt in 2012 will result in a neutral risk (50\%) that the fishing mortality rate in 2012 will exceed $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ref }}$ Split M 0.5 model: A catch of about 925 mt in 2012 will result in a neutral risk (50\%) that the fishing mortality rate in 2012 will exceed Fref


| Risk that the 4+ adult biomass in $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| will be lower than the 2012 biomass | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Split M 0.2 | $1,050 \mathrm{mt}$ | $1,350 \mathrm{mt}$ | $1,650 \mathrm{mt}$ |
| Split M 0.5 | 500 mt | 900 mt | $1,350 \mathrm{mt}$ |

Split M 0.2: 1,350 mt catch $\rightarrow$ results in $50 \%$ risk that $4+$ biomass in $2013<2012$

Split M 0.5: 900 mt catch $\rightarrow$ results $50 \%$ risk that 4+ biomass in $2013<2012$

Probability $\mathrm{B}_{2013}$ at age 4+ will not increase by $10 \%$


| Risk that the 4+ adult biomass in $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| will not increase by 10\% |  | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Split M 0.2 |  | 700 mt | $1,000 \mathrm{mt}$ | $1,350 \mathrm{mt}$ |
| Split M 0.5 |  | -- | 300 mt | 850 mt |

Split M 0.2: 1,000 mt catch results in 50\% risk that 4+ biomass in 2013 will not increase by $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$

Split M 0.5: 300 mt catch results in $50 \%$ risk that 4+ biomass in 2013 will not increase by 10\%


| Risk that the 4+ adult biomass in $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| will not increase by 20\% |  | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| Split M 0.2 |  | 350 mt | 650 mt | $1,050 \mathrm{mt}$ |
| Split M 0.5 | -- | -- | 350 mt |  |

Split M 0.2: 650 mt catch results in 50\% risk that 4+ biomass in 2013 will not increase by $20 \%$

Split M 0.5: No amount of catch results in 50\% risk that 4+ biomass in 2013 will not increase by 20\%

EGB Cod

|  | Split M 0.2 |  |  | Split M 0.5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% |
| Probability of exceeding Fref in 2012 | 525 mt | 600 mt | 700 mt | 825 mt | 925 mt | 1,025 mt |
| Risk that the 4+ adult biomass in 2013 will be lower than the 2012 biomass | 1,050 mt | 1,350 mt | 1,650 mt | 500 mt | 900 mt | 1,350 mt |
| Risk that the 4+ adult biomass in 2013 will not increase by 10\% | 700 mt | 1,000 mt | 1,350 mt | -- | 300 mt | 850 mt |
| Risk that the 4+ adult biomass in 2013 will not increase by 20\% | 350 mt | 650 mt | 1,050 mt | -- | -- | 350 mt |

Catch advice should be lower than shown:

- The retrospective bias is not taken into account in these projections
- The split series introduces a change in survey catchability, which is an alias for a mechanism that is not understood - adds uncertainty to the model results.
- $F$ reduced but still above $F_{\text {ref }}$; retrospective bias
- Recent recruitment among poorest
- Low numbers: 7+ fish; Reduced weights at age
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ lowest biomass ; Fishing below Fref will maintain a higher biomass
- Unable to benefit from 2003 YC : F > Fref
- Rebuilding: not w/o improved recruitment and F<Fref
- 2 models equally viable \& both should be considered
- Catch advice should be lower than shown:retrospective bias not taken into account in projections



## Eastern GB Haddock



## Management Unit

$E G B$ Haddock


EGB Haddock


CY: Total 2010 catch: 18,794 mt
US: 2,201 mt ; Canada: 16,592 mt
FY: Quota taken: US ~ 15\%, CA ~ 94\%

Fishing Mortality
EGB Haddock

$2010 \mathrm{~F}=0.15$, below or near Fref since 1995
F now estimated as average of ages 5-8


- 2010 biomass: 93,400 mt - 2009 record high 162,800 mt


## Recruitment



- Preliminary est. 2010 YC ~ 557 mil. - Rct. ~ 9.0 mil. since 1990,w/o ‘00,'03,'10


## Stock/Recruitment

EGB Haddock

-Higher recruitment SSB > 40,000 mt

## Projection

## EGB Haddock



- 2012 catch of $16,000 \mathrm{mt} \rightarrow 50 \%$ risk $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {ref }}$
-No biomass decline from 2012 to 2013 (2010 YC)
- $F=0.15$ in 2010 ; $F$ below $F_{\text {ret }}(0.26)$ since 2007
- 2010 YC exceptional: preliminary est. $\sim 557$ M
- Except for the 2000,2003,2010 YCs, recruitment has averaged 9 million fish at age 1 since 1990
- Biomass will decline in 2012 but expected to increase in 2013 as 2010 YC enters fishery
- Fishing up to $F_{\text {ref }}$ does not pose conservation concerns for haddock in near future


## GB Yellowtail Flounder



## Georges Bank Yellowtail flounder



US catches:
SA 522,525, 561,562

## CA catches:

 551,552
# Catch 



- 2010 USA + CA catch :1,160 mt ; discards 42\% of catch
- Decline 36\% from 1,806 mt in 2009
- US catch: 943 mt ( 654 mt landings, 289 mt discards)
- CA catch: 217 mt (17 mt landings; 200 mt discards)


## 3+ Biomass

-1995: 2,100 mt -2003: 10,900 mt -2006: 2,700 mt -2011: 9,300 mt


## SSB and Recruitment



- 1998-2001 avg. recruit. ~ 22.2 million age 1 - 2005 YC ~16.8 mil. \& 2006 YC ~17.2 mil. -2007-2008 YCs : 8.0-5.0 mil.
- 2009 poorest ~0.9 mil.

Fishing mortality


F > Fref (0.25) during 1973-2009
2010 F= $0.13<$ Fref

## Projection Risks \& 2012 TAC

| Probability of exceeding $\mathbf{F}_{\text {ref }}$ | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Split Series | 1,400 | 1,700 | 1,900 |
| Split Series rho adjusted | 600 | 750 | 900 |
| Single Series rho adjusted | 1,400 | 1,700 | 1,900 |



Retrospective bias: overestimate biomass

## Relative change in median biomass 2012 to 2013

| 2012 Catch (mt) | Split Series | Split Series <br> rho adjusted | Single Series <br> rho adjusted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 600 | $+22 \%$ | $+25 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 750 | $+20 \%$ | $+20 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
| 900 | $+18 \%$ | $+16 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| 1,400 | $+12 \%$ | $+1 \%$ | $-9 \%$ |
| 1,700 | $+8 \%$ | $-8 \%$ | $-13 \%$ |
| 1,900 | $+5 \%$ | $-14 \%$ | $-15 \%$ |



## Split : 2012 catch of 2300 mt = no change in B in 2013

Rho adj: 2012 catch: 1400 mt = $1 \%$ inc. in $B$ in 2013

## USA rebuilding scenario for yellowtail fld.

- Calculate fishing mortality which results in a $50 \%$ probability of reaching 43,200 mt (Table 24 - assessment doc)
- Rebuilding target cannot be achieved by 2016 even with no fishing
- At $F=0.08,50 \%$ P rebuilding achieved by 2017 with 2012 catch of 600 mt


## Summary

- 2005 YC not strong in surveys or catch
- Adult biomass (3+) in 2011 slightly higher than 2010
- 2010 SSB lower than 2009
- 2007-2009 recruitment among lowest
- Expect SSB decline in near future if low rct. persists
- F $2010=0.13$
- F 2008 and 2009 were $=0.15$ now 0.27-0.28
- Increased uncertainty: retrospective pattern reemerged
- USA requirement for a rebuilding strategy - not attainable in short term with current productivity
- TRAC: TAC 900-1,400 mt BUT highly dependent on recruitment assumption

